Education and Training Workgroup Meeting Report

February 10, 2023



Education and Training Workgroup Members

ACHDNC MEMBERS

- Jane DeLuca, PhD, RN, CPNP (Chair)
- Melissa Parisi, MD, PhD National Institutes of Health
- Jannine De Mars Cody, PhD

ORGANIZATIONAL REPRESENTATIVES

- Natasha Bonhomme Genetic Alliance
- Siobhan Dolan, MD, MPH March of Dimes
- Karin Downs, RN, MPH, AMCHP
- Shakira Henderson, PhD, DNP, MS, MPH, RNC-NIC, IBCLC Association of Women's Health, Obstetric & Neonatal Nurses
- Steven Ralston, MD, MPH
 American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists
- Cate Walsh Vockley, MS, LCGC
 National Society of Genetic Counselors

MCHB

- Loraine Swanson, MPH
- Alaina Harris, MSW, MPH

WORKGROUP MEMBERS

- Barbara Ferreira, RN, BSN
- Stacy Hines-Dowell, DNP, AGN-BC
- Yvonne Kellar-Guenther, PhD
- Mary Kleyn, MS
- Keri LeBlanc, NNP, MSN, CNS
- Sylvia Mann, MS, CGC
- Jeremy Penn, PhD
- Maa-Ohui Quarmyne, MBchB MS
- Lisa M. Shook, MA, MCHES
- Samantha Vergano, MD
- Sarah Viall, MSN, PPCNP-BC

IN ATTENDENCE

- Funke Akinsola
- Bob Best
- Cindy Hinton
- Ruthanne Sheller

TECH SUPPORT

Maggie McAlexander

Proposed change in the existing structure of the Workgroups

- It was suggested that formal Workgroups dissolve in favor of smaller workgroups for specific projects
 - Discussion:
 - In some ways the E & T Workgroup has always operated in this manner
 - Small group work has often been a productive part of the workgroup
 - The downside: you can spend excess time identifying and recruiting people with specific skills taking energy away from accomplishing a task
 - E and T and has been underutilized in the past.
 - What is the impact of the work of the E and T committee?
 - We have ideas, but what goals does the ACHDNC want us to be working on?
 - Guidance from the ACHDNC committee would be helpful
- Understanding what resources are available may also help guide projects

Suggest 3 (or slightly more) top priority solutions the Committee can consider acting on to support state implementation of conditions added to the RUSP?

From this:

- Support use of existing training programs and mentorship already available
- Assure diverse and culturally tailored materials are available to families; support fostering equity
- Partner with other governmental agencies working in similar spaces (e.g. preventive task forces, maternal-child commissions etc.)
- Develop discreet goals for education and training
- Consider basic genetic and NBS education

To this:

Partnering with governmental agencies and professional groups working in similar spaces, we will support development, distribution, and awareness of diverse and culturally focused new and existing newborn screening education programs and materials, ensuring coverage of basic genetics and newborn screening for all.

Educational Planning and Communication Guides

What they need to know→ "The stakeholder should know" Stakeholder į	Health reasons / benefits for screening	screening is conditions when and when / identified by resu			NBS and re teps (insuff presumptiv	spective no ficient sam	or algorithm	n screening (re ory testing, di	eferral, agnostic re rsonnel	Special neon circumstances to quire modification NBS process (pro NICU, Home Birt	hat may NBS ons to the pare reemie, how	costs of assay to nts and are they vered						
Expectant parents	Y	Y		Υ		N		N		Y (general)	Y						
New parents	Y	Y		Y		Υ		N		Y (if relevan	nt)	Υ						
Parents of Screened Positive	Υ																	
Family members of identified child (grandparents / siblings / other)	Y	What they need to know→ "The stakeholder should know"		How a new						y it is Ho rtant to stakeh			How stakeholders assess symptoms in		The pattern of			
Adoptive parents (newborn / older child)	Y (newborn) / N (older child)	"The stakehol Stakeholder	lder should know.	collected from newborn (te	om the re		importance of timeliness in	should tall parents ab	k to ta		parents diagnos				inheritance of conditions			
Foster parents (newborn / older child)	Y (newborn) / N (older child)					rmanently	NBS	results	abou	ut NBS scree	ening (clinica	l approach)	determine follow-up	conditions	detected			
General public (constituent)	Y	Expectant parents		N		Υ	Υ	N			١	N	N Y (for symptom	Υ	N			
Disease/Condition Specific Advocates	Y	New parents		N N		Υ	Υ	N		N N	N .	N	r (ioi symptom	Y	N			
Birth/ Prenatal educators	Υ	Parents of Sci	Parents of Screened Positive		_									Policies for storage and	orage and			
OB / GYNs	Y		Family members of identified child (grandparents / siblings / other)		"The st	What they need to know "The stakeholder shou Stakeholder:		Condition-	Current condition-		If carrier status y detected, and it how will that re	so, The cost	ts and The importance	use of dried bloodspot cards, regulations about NBS, and practices to	ions about F	Criteria and processes for adding a	Policy on parental	Availability of additional
Midwives	Υ	Adoptive pare child)	ents (newborn / ol	der N	Staken	loider		specific	specific management		h be returned a managed?		ts of public health	convey this inf	ormation to CC			resources for stakeholders
Doulas	Υ		s (newborn / olde	N	Expect	tant parents		N	N	Y for decision- making	N	N	Y (general)	Y (gene		N	Y	Y
Birth nurses (OB)	Y	General public Disease/Cond	c (constituent)	N	New pa	arents		N	N	Y for decision- making	Y	N	Y (general)	Y		N	N	Υ
Pediatricians/Family practitioners/Well baby care	Y	Advocates	nuon specific	N	_	s of Screene		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	N	Y (targe	ted)	N	Υ	Υ
providers/PCPs Geneticists/Biochemical	Y	Birth/ Prenata	l educators	Y (gene			f identified child blings / other)	Y	Υ	Υ	N	N	Y (general)	Y (gene	eral)	N	N	Υ
geneticists Sub-specialists (Neurologists,	Y	OB / GYNs		N	Adoptiv	ve parents (newborn / older	Y (general)	N	Y (newborn) / N (older child)	Υ	N	Υ	Υ		N	Y (newborn) / N (older child)	Υ
Endocrinologists) NICU Physicians/staff	Y	Midwives		Y		parents (ne	wborn / older	Y (general)	N	N	N	N	N	N		N	N	Y
Genetic Counselors	Y	Doulas		N	Genera	al public (co		N	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y (gene	eral)	Y (general)	Y (general)	Υ
Dietitians	Y	Birth nurses (Y	Disease Advoca	e/Condition ates	Specific	Y	Υ	Υ	Y (concepts a resources)	nd Y	Υ	Υ		Υ	Υ	Υ
Social Workers (working with positive screen patients)	Y	Pediatricians/ practitioners/	Well baby care	Second Se States		Prenatal edu	icators	Y (general)	Y (general)	Υ	Y (concepts a resources)	nd N	Υ	Y (gene	eral)	N	Υ	Υ
Foster care / child protective services	Y	providers/PCF Geneticists/Bi		Y	OB / G	YNs		N	N	Y (decision- making)	N	N	Υ	Y (resou	rces)	N	Υ	Y
Educators for relevant professionals/trainees	Y		ts (Neurologists,	N	Midwiv	res		Y (general)	N	Y (decision- making)	Υ	N	Υ	Y (resou	rces)	N	Υ	Y
Hospital Administrators/Risk Managers	Y	Endocrinologi NICU Physicia	ists) ans/staff	Y	Doulas	;		Υ	N	Y (decision- making)	N	N	N	N		N	Υ	Υ
Insurers	Y	Genetic Coun	selors	N		urses (OB)		Y (general)	N	Y (decision- making)	N	N	Υ	Y (resou	rces)	N	Υ	Υ
Phlebotomists Medical Assistants Screening laboratory staff	Y	Dietitians Social Worker positive scree	rs (working with	N N	practiti	ricians/Fami ioners/Well I ers/PCPs		Y	Y (general/first line)	t Y	Υ	N	Υ	Y (resou	rces)	Υ	Υ	Y
Follow up program coordinators / staff	Υ	Foster care / o	child protective	N	Genetic	cists/Bioche	emical	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ		Υ	Υ	Υ
Researchers (bloodspot use specific; pilot study)	Υ	services Educators for		N	Sub-sp	pecialists (No rinologists)	eurologists,	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	Y (resou	rces)	Y	Υ	Υ
Legislators	Y		/trainees inistrators/Risk	Y	NICU P	hysicians/s		Y	Y Depends on	Y	Υ	N	Y	Y (resou	rces)	Y	Y	Y
Couriers	Υ	Managers Insurers		N N	Genetic Dietitia	c Counselor	rs	Y	work setting	Y	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y		Y N	Y N	Y
		Phlebotomists Medical Assis		Y	Social	Workers (we e screen pa		N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y		N	Y	Y
		Screening lab Follow up pro	oratory staff gram coordinator	Y S/		care / child		Y (general)	N	N	N	N	N	N		N	N	Υ
		staff Researchers ((bloodspot use	N N	Educat	tors for relev sionals/train	iees	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y (gene	eral) Y	Y (gene	eral)	Υ	Υ	Υ
		specific; pilot Legislators	study)	N	Hospita Manage	al Administr ers	rators/Risk	N	N	Y (general)	Y (general)	Υ		Υ		Y	Υ	Y
		Couriers		N		otomists		N N	Y N	Y N	N N	Y N	N Y (general)	N N		Y (general) N	Y (general) Y (general)	Y Y
						al Assistants ning laborato		N N	N N	N N	N Y	N N	Y (general) Y	N Y		N Y	N Y	Y
					Follow staff	up program	coordinators /	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	Υ		Y	Υ	Y
					Resear	rchers (bloo c; pilot stud		N	N	Y (general)	N	N		Υ		Y (general)	Y	Y
					Legisla	ators		N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y
					Courie	rs		N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N	N	Υ



Communication Guide

THIS GUIDE WILL HELP YOU EFFECTIVELY COMMUNICATE [POSITIVE]* NEWBORN SCREENING RESULTS TO PARENTS.



Because this type of communication is not a routine activity for the primary care provider, the information below may be used to help frame the discussion with families to improve understanding of the screening result, adherence to follow-up recommendations, and the family's overall experience with newborn screening.

Families who have had [positive]* newborn screening results have suggested that the following key points are important in helping families cope with the uncertainty of a [positive]* newborn screening result and understand the next steps needed to gain certainty.

Share the specific [positive]* newborn screening result and associated condition(s) with the family.

Help the family understand that a [positive]* newborn screening result is serious, but that you are there to help guide them through the next steps.

Comprehension: Assess the family's understanding of newborn screening. Assess if the family receils and understanding.

Assess if the family recalls and understands the process of newborn screening.

peiterate what screening is and is not.

 Remind the family about the purpose of newborn screening and that it is not a diagnostic test, so it is important that timely follow-up confirmatory testing be done.

ngage with the family and provide information at their desired level and pace. Offer to provide the family additional are in their desired level and pace.

- Offer to provide the family additional result-specific information provided by the state newborn screening program.
- Discuss information using non-medical terms, at the family's pace and desired level of detail.

xplore the family's emotions.

- Explore with the family how they might use their support system or other support resources now and as they go through the diagnostic process.
- Remember there is a wide spectrum of how families may cope with this result (anxiety to denial). Tailor your discussion to help the family hear and retain the information discussed.

ext steps: Discuss a shared plan and provide resources. Discuss with the family a shared

- Discuss with the family a shared plan that is concrete, specific, and includes the following:
- Where, when, and with whom is the next appointment?
- What testing will be considered and/or done?
- . What should they watch for in their child while they wait?
- Who can they contact if they have additional questions or concerns?
- Assess the family's understanding of the visit and information provided using teach-back methods, and provide valid websites for them to get more information.

*A positive newborn screening result can also be referred to as an abnormal result, an out-of-range result, or presumptive positive result.

For more information about the Advisory Committee on Heritable Disorders in Newborns and Children, please visit https://www.hrsa.gov/advisory-committees/heritable-disorders

The Past: Evaluate completed work

- What is the impact of the screening guides and other resources?
 - How can we evaluate their use?
 - Who is using them and how often, what approaches and metrics can we use to learn about this (? IP addresses)
- What does success in NBS education look like? What changes are we seeing?

The Present: Set priorities

- Fostering community engagement
 - Using our volunteer energy for projects prioritized by communities; steered by communities
- Engaging states' NBS programs to understand needs of different groups
 - Groups we may not be reaching or who are challenging to reach
 - Check in with states programs for policies and materials on NBS education
- Use existing organizations (APHL/Genetic Alliance) and identify grantees for assistance in performing needs assessments (states' policies for example)
- Understanding parents and families' experiences; comparing families of infants who have gone through screening with positive or false positive results

The Present: Set priorities

- Create a repository for vast NBS resources, but not reinvent the wheel
 - Evaluation of materials?
- Written materials, pamphlets tend not to get the message out; other media may do a better job (HRSA, Babies First Test- You Tube videos); Existing materials in CA, Texas and elsewhere are using You Tube.
- Creating You Tube education for NBS disorders and PSAs
 - This could be very expensive to execute
- Thinking of NBS education along the continuum of the process from OB to pediatric provider visit
- What is doable for NBS education and measurable?

The Future: what to look at and how do we prepare?

- Education about new disorders added to the RUSP
- Providing guidance for education and understanding around genomic sequencing for NBS
 - Where is this on the horizon? Multiple companies engaged, multiple research projects

Where to from here...

A useful framework:

- The past: evaluating outcomes to learn what works
- The present: needs assessment of what is needed now
- The future: preparing for additional conditions and new NBS
- ACHDNC vision and ideas for project-oriented work groups with the E & T committee setting priorities and acquiring funding
- Many good suggestions, but need ongoing conversations to prioritize ideas, select potential projects, and form task groups