



Education and Training Subcommittee Report



SACHDNC Advisory Committee Meeting
May 17-18, 2012

Subcommittee Charge

- ▶ Review existing educational and training resources, identify gaps, and make recommendations regarding five groups:
 - ▶ Parents and the public
 - ▶ Parents
 - ▶ The public
 - ▶ Health professionals
 - ▶ Health professionals
 - ▶ Screening program staff
 - ▶ Hospital/birthing facility staff



Current E&T Subcommittee Members

▶ SACHDNC Members

- ▶ Don Bailey (chair) Catherine Wicklund
- ▶ Stephen McDonough Jeffrey Botkin
- ▶ Joe Bocchini

▶ Organization Representatives to SACHDNC

- ▶ Frederick Chen (AAFP) Mary Willis (DoD)
- ▶ Beth Tarini (co-chair) (AAP) Joe Leigh Simpson (MoD)
- ▶ **Nancy Rose (ACOG)** Natasha Bonhomme (GA)

▶ Federally-Funded Grantees

- ▶ Joyce Hooker (Regional Collaboratives)
- ▶ Colleen Buechner (NNSGRC)

▶ Consultant Members

- ▶ **Emily Drake (birthing facility)** **Joan Scott (professional training)**
- ▶ **Jeremy Penn (parent)** Deborah Rodriguez (state lab)
- ▶ Cate Vockley (genetic counselor) Jacque Waggoner (parent)



Goals for May 2012 meeting

- ▶ Review ongoing activities and updates from organizations and projects
- ▶ Hear a preliminary report about whether states collect data on newborn screening refusals and whether state policies affect refusal rates
- ▶ Discuss potential collaboration with the Condition Review Group to provide guidance for advocacy groups and others regarding the nomination and review process.
- ▶ Review findings and initial recommendations from the recent NBS Awareness Campaign Strategy Summit Meeting
- ▶ Discuss awareness activities planned in association with the 2013 50th anniversary of newborn screening



Newborn Screening Awareness Activities

- ▶ Phase I media scan completed (report presented at prior SACHDNC meeting)
- ▶ April 26-27 Convened a strategy session to discuss strategies to inform and educate the public about newborn screening
 - ▶ Focus
 - ▶ Audiences
 - ▶ Messages
- ▶ **50th Anniversary plans**
 - ▶ CDC – APHL taking major responsibility
 - ▶ Media scan and strategy session are being used to help inform the planning process
 - ▶ Wide range of interesting activities currently in planning stage



Genetic Alliance Updates

- ▶ **Consumer Task Force is active**
 - ▶ Promote newborn screening at the local level
 - ▶ Identify “on the ground” problems that might compromise the benefits of newborn screening
 - ▶ Help inform continued development of *Baby’s First Test* website
- ▶ **Successful Challenge Award Review Cycle**
 - ▶ A number of interesting applications submitted
 - ▶ 6 new awards funded: List available on Genetic Alliance website
 - ▶ Primary focus: developing and evaluating the effects of educational materials
 - ▶ Videos
 - ▶ Social media
 - ▶ Print materials
 - ▶ Web-based applications



Newborn Screening Refusals: Policies and Practices (Lewis, Goldberg, Therrell)

- ▶ Conducted an email survey of 50 state labs and D.C.
 - ▶ Do you track the # of individual parent refusals for newborn screening (in contrast to comparing the # of screening samples received per year with # of births)?
 - ▶ What types of information do you collect on those refusals?
- ▶ 41% (21 states) do not track refusals
- ▶ Only 14 (27%) track reasons for refusals
- ▶ A better system to track and report refusals at the national level would be useful surveillance information and would allow monitoring of trends
- ▶ Studies of the reasons for parent refusals and how those vary across settings or time would be very informative



Collaboration with Condition Review Group

▶ Problems to be solved

- ▶ Increase public transparency for what we do and the rationale for decisions made
- ▶ Provide feedback to nominators regarding next steps
- ▶ Support future nominators in preparing successful application packages

▶ Several activities discussed

- ▶ Create short, plain language summaries of evidence reviews
 - ▶ Provide “blueprint” for future nominators
 - ▶ Improve information on SACHDNC website
 - ▶ Create a “lessons learned” case study book for future nominators
 - ▶ Have a point person to help nominators navigate the process
-



Other Brief Reports

- ▶ **Continued implementation of activities in the Genetics and Primary Care**
 - ▶ 3-year (June 2011 – May 2014) cooperative agreement to American Academy of Pediatrics
 - ▶ Goal: to increase Primary Care Provider knowledge and skills in providing genetic-based services.
- ▶ **ACOG**
 - ▶ Variety of activities underway
 - ▶ Nancy Rose developing manuscript to provide further guidance for implementing ACOG recommendations regarding NBS information
- ▶ **Family History for Prenatal Providers**
 - ▶ Discussion deferred, possible SACHDNC presentation at next meeting



Priority 1: Enhance our ability to track, provide input on, and facilitate integration of national initiatives and committee-initiated activities

▶ **Goals for the next year**

- ▶ Work with professional organizations to identify priorities for newborn screening awareness efforts
- ▶ Conduct scan to determine major education and training needs that extend into areas other than newborn screening: goal within 1 year to have identified one major E&T goal that addresses a need related to genetic and metabolic disorders outside the newborn screening arena.



Priority 2: Promote newborn screening awareness among the public and professionals

- ▶ Continue to support and provide input on the 2013 Newborn Screening Awareness Campaign plans and activities
 - ▶ How can/should the SACHDNC be involved in each of the various activities being planned?
- ▶ Develop an action plan with specific objectives regarding professional practices in newborn screening awareness
 - ▶ What changes in professional practice would most likely result in increased public awareness about NBS and how can we make those happen?
- ▶ Identify potential partner(s) to develop a plan to inform state legislators about the SACHDNC and evidence review process



Priority 3: Provide better guidance for advocacy groups and others regarding the nomination and review process

- ▶ Collaborate with the Condition Review Group to develop public-friendly summaries of previously conducted evidence reviews
- ▶ Create a subcommittee to recommend strategies for supporting nominators and advocacy groups
 - ▶ Increase clarity of nomination and review process
 - ▶ Provide guidance for “getting your condition ready for nomination and review”
 - ▶ Feedback on next steps
 - ▶ For nominated conditions deemed “not ready for review”
 - ▶ For reviewed conditions that are not approved for addition to RUSP

