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Recommendations

Recommendation 1: Incorporating Longitudinal Clinical Training in Rural Primary Care. Congress should increase funding to Title VII, Section 747 and 748, programs to increase the number of longitudinal primary care rotations and post-graduate residency programs providing training in underserved rural communities with an emphasis on accessible, comprehensive, equitable, and age-friendly care.

Rationale
Longitudinal integrated primary care training enables trainees to establish enduring connections and professional and personal relationships, which support workforce retention and rural practice. Providing training opportunities in rural communities increases the likelihood that trainees will continue to practice in these communities following completion of their training.

Recommendation 2: Integrating Oral and Behavioral Health in Primary Care. Congress should increase funding to the Title VII, Section 747 and 748, programs to integrate oral and behavioral health into primary care training to support interprofessional team-based training and practice that addresses the needs of medically complex patients, including those facing racial and ethnic inequity in underserved rural communities.

Rationale
Interprofessional primary care training that includes oral and behavioral health can better equip the workforce to meet the needs of rural communities. Integrated oral and behavioral health training increases understanding of the oral-systemic connection and encourages teams to work together to address the needs of complex patients suffering from chronic disease and environmental challenges due to the social determinants of health.

Recommendation 3: Enhancing Telehealth Capacity. Congress should increase funding for Title VII, Section 747 and 748, programs to build and enhance telehealth capacity of primary care training programs to use clinical telehealth technologies for telemonitoring, remote interprofessional collaborative care, shared patient management, and telementoring in underserved rural communities.

Rationale
Telehealth technology has become an important resource, increasing health care access in rural areas. Primary care training that integrates innovative telehealth strategies, including teledentistry, can enable future providers and their patients to overcome barriers to care related to time and distance, and increase opportunities for patient education, consultation, collaboration, and shared care management.

Recommendation 4: Supporting Interprofessional Team-based Education and Practice in Maternal-Infant Care. Congress should increase funding for Title VII, Section 747 and 748, programs to support interprofessional team-based education and practice that incorporates oral

1. Definitions of terms marked with an * can be found at the end of the document.
health and a life course* approach to proactively address disparities in maternal and infant health outcomes in underserved rural communities.

Rationale
Rural areas often rely on family medicine physicians working with other primary care clinicians to provide maternal-infant care. Training future providers to collaborate across interprofessional teams using a life course approach prioritizes prevention, enhances protective factors, and helps minimize developmental and structural risk factors to improve long-term maternal and child health outcomes.
Executive Summary

Strengthening Primary Health Care in the United States

Primary health care serves a common good by improving the health of the populace, as stated in a report issued by the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (2021). Primary care is foundational to efficient health care delivery and it is the only health care component where an increased supply is associated with better and more equitable population health outcomes. However, it requires substantial investment, along with societal and political support, to counter prevalent incentives toward a more fragmented, proceduralist, and subspecialist-oriented health care system.

Access to high quality primary care services is grossly unequal, with a particular disparity between rural and urban areas of the country. One in five Americans or approximately 60 million people live in a rural areas (U.S. Census Bureau, 2017). Rural communities differ from urban ones in age, income, and health status (Hoffman & Holmes, 2017; Schroeder & Rural Health Research Gateway, 2018). By many measures, rural residents have significantly worse health outcomes than their urban counterparts, including higher rates of chronic conditions such as hypertension, cancer, and diabetes, and higher rates of mortality from heart disease, respiratory disease, cancer, stroke, unintentional injury, and suicide (Kozhimannil et al., 2019; Moy et al., 2017).

To achieve better health outcomes across all areas of the United States, the primary care system requires a sufficient health workforce trained to meet community needs and to work at the top of their skillset and scope of practice within interprofessional teams. However, a major contributing factor to disparities in access is a chronic shortage of health care professionals, including physicians, dentists, physician assistants (PAs), nurses and nurse practitioners, pharmacists, and other primary care clinicians who train, practice, and live in rural areas (Iglehart, 2018). Almost 70% of the HRSA-identified primary medical health professional shortage areas (HPSAs) are located in rural or partially rural areas.

In addition, separate training and practice settings are ingrained into the cultures of medicine, nursing, dentistry, and behavioral health. Interprofessional teams and practices improve health outcomes (IOM, 2001, 2015), however, clinicians remain largely siloed in training and practice, limiting opportunities for joint learning experiences and collaboration.

Increasing access to comprehensive primary care delivered by interprofessional teams would be a significant step toward improving health equity*, reducing health disparities, and ensuring that care is available and accessible to every individual, family, and community when needed. The recommendations in this 18th report of the ACTPCMD aim to advance health equity through enhanced support of primary care workforce training to address health barriers in rural and other underserved communities.
**Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic**

This report on primary care was developed within the context of an evolving public health crisis that has amplified the pervasive inequities of a siloed health care system. Over the last two years, the COVID-19 pandemic has shined a spotlight on weaknesses and pervasive challenges in the primary care system that have varying impacts on communities and individuals, especially those of color, those living in or near poverty, the homeless, and other minority, or underserved populations. As a result, the pandemic has exacerbated health disparities. In rural communities already suffering from long-standing health and social inequities many rural residents are at increased risk of getting COVID-19 or severe illness (MMWR, 2020) at a time when rural hospitals and practices have experienced an accelerated rate of closure (Kaufman et al., 2016).

The pandemic has been a major disruptive force, transforming the way we work together across our health care system and communities. Our “new normal” has forced us to recognize that in addition to the acute care provided today, we will soon face a tsunami of need for future care due to delayed or missed preventive visits, increasing mental health needs, and a growing population suffering from chronic illness precipitated by sequelae of COVID-19. Health centers, the backbone of the primary care safety net, served roughly 28 million patients in 2020, of which 90% are low income and 63% are racial/ethnic minorities. However, these centers faced almost 2,000 temporary closures as of May 2020 and reported a 43% drop in patient visits overall, limiting access to essential primary care service for disadvantaged populations.

In rural communities, COVID-19 has exacerbated preexisting health inequities including a decrease in life expectancies, workforce shortages, and hospital closures, putting residents at increased risk of getting COVID-19 or severe illness. Community-based public health approaches seek to address some of these issues; however, accessible comprehensive care remains unattainable for much of the population. Sufficient investment in a primary care workforce, collaborating with public and community health, has the potential to mitigate inequities and associated health disparities. To ensure equitable access to care and reduce health disparities in rural and other underserved communities it is vital that HRSA increase Title VII funding to prepare trainees to work in evolving integrated care models, providing team-based primary care focused on prevention, value, and population health.

**ACTPCMD recommendations include:**

1. Advancing strategies for recruitment and retention of primary care workforce through longitudinal training of clinicians in rural communities.
2. Integrating oral and behavioral health into primary care training to support interprofessional teams to increase access to preventive care, and more effectively meet the needs of medically complex patients.
3. Building and enhancing telehealth capacity in primary care programs to prepare students, trainees, and faculty to use clinical telehealth technologies that extend access.
4. Supporting interprofessional team-based education and practice that incorporates oral and mental health and a life-course approach to proactively address health disparities in maternal and infant health outcomes.
Recommendations—Background

Recommendation 1: Incorporating Longitudinal Clinical Training in Rural Primary Care
Congress should increase funding to Title VII, Section 747 and 748, programs to increase the number of longitudinal*2 primary care rotations and post-graduate residency programs providing training in underserved rural communities with an emphasis on accessible, comprehensive, equitable, and age-friendly* care.

Rationale
Longitudinal integrated primary care training enables trainees to establish enduring connections and professional and personal relationships, which supports workforce retention and rural practice. Providing training opportunities in rural communities increases the likelihood that trainees will continue to practice in these communities following completion of their training.

Background
A vital rural community depends on the health of its population and their ability to access primary care. Yet, rural communities are particularly challenged to recruit and retain critical primary healthcare workforce. As of March 2021, 61% of Primary Care Health Professional Shortage Areas (HPSAs) were in rural areas (HRSA,2021). Similarly, 63% of dental HPSAs are in rural areas, where preventive dental care visits are lower than those in urban areas (Cha & Cohen, 2021; HRSA, 2021). Studies indicate that trainees often choose to practice near where they trained (Patterson, 2016).

Although unfunded, the Affordable Care Act (ACA) included a recommendation to fund new rural physician training grants, recognizing that these programs to support rural-focused training, recruitment, and graduate students most likely to practice in underserved rural communities (RTT Collaborative, 2021b). Currently only nine schools of medicine are located in a rural community and forty-two medical schools have a rural program for a select number of students, ranging from four to sixty (RTT Collaborative, 2021). Of the current 729 family medicine residencies, 7% are active rural programs (AAFP, 2021) and less than 5% of the 600 Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) programs are in rural communities (ACGME, 2021; Residency Programs List, 2021; RTT Collaborative, 2021a).

While the number of new medical schools has increased, as has the class size of the existing schools, the number of medical students who express an interest in practicing in rural communities has fallen (Shipman, 2019). Studies indicate that students from rural backgrounds are more likely to practice in rural communities, however, less than 5% of new medical students come from rural backgrounds (Shipman, 2019). Rural populations are growing, reversing the trend of population loss (Cromartie et al., 2020), accelerating the need to implement new strategies to recruit and retain a sufficient number of primary care clinicians to address rural population health care needs.

---

2 Definitions of terms marked with an * can be found at the end of the document.
Primary care post-graduate experiences improve clinician recruitment to rural communities. Several studies show that rural education and training opportunities increase the likelihood that students and trainees will continue to practice in these communities following graduation (Abid et al., 2020; Farmer et al., 2015; Holst, 2020; Melo et al., 2014; Meyers et al., 2020; Orzanco et al., 2011). Shannon et al., (2016) found that trainees from rural backgrounds and urban trainees experiencing rural community-based rotations were more likely to indicate an intention to practice in rural communities.

Longitudinal integrated primary care training models, including rural primary care clinical rotations, residency programs, and fellowships, can allow students and trainees to establish enduring connections through professional and personal relationships and community engagement. Rural training programs work to foster connections between providers and the communities they serve, which is critical for a successful rural placement (Jaret, 2020; Wittick et al., 2018). Longitudinal rotations may make it easier for individuals from rural communities to return to their community for a portion of their educational training. In addition, they provide an environment for learners to gain vital experience in managing chronic diseases (diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease) and the unique challenges, needs, and social determinants of health that affect health outcomes of rural residents (Fraher & Brandt, 2019).

Physician-patient concordance can contribute to health outcomes (Shen et al., 2018). Though less racially and ethnically diverse than urban areas, approximately 22% of the rural population is comprised of racial and ethnic minorities (Castillo & Cromartie, 2020). However, less than 0.5% of new medical students are racial/ethnic minorities with rural backgrounds (Shipman et al., 2019). Rural longitudinal primary care experiences that include diversity, inclusion, and bias training may help address these structural challenges to obtaining accessible, comprehensive, equitable, and age-friendly care.

Exemplars

1. **The University of Colorado Longitudinal Rural Curriculum.** Students in the Rural Program spend the year at dispersed sites in one rural Colorado community or several neighboring towns. Students have extensive opportunities for hands-on experiences and participation in procedures. PA Program rural track students are integrated into team-based rural training experiences. [https://medschool.cuanschutz.edu/education/current-students/curriculum/longitudinal-curriculum/tracks/rural-program](https://medschool.cuanschutz.edu/education/current-students/curriculum/longitudinal-curriculum/tracks/rural-program)


3. **COMPADRE**, a multifaceted program at the UC Davis Health School of Medicine, aims to reduce health disparities by transforming the physician workforce—to be better prepared, more equitably distributed, and more deeply connected to underserved communities. More specifically, the goals of COMPADRE are to: 1) fundamentally change how learners are selected into undergraduate medical education (UME) and graduate medical education
(GME); 2) redesign the UME to GME transition, so learners gain first-hand experience in their future clinical learning environments; 3) better prepare residents to care for populations in under-resourced settings; 4) enhance well-being by nurturing meaningful longitudinal relationships within a thriving learning community; and 5) reduce regional health disparities. [https://www.learncompadre.com/](https://www.learncompadre.com/)

4. A.T. Still University's primary model of providing clinical education for medical, dental, and PA students in urban and rural teaching health centers offers primary continuity of care to vulnerable populations through a longitudinal primary care teaching health center model for students, trainees, faculty, and other healthcare professionals. [A.T. Still/Rural Teaching for workforce sustainability and improved population health teaching Health Centers](https://www.learncompadre.com/).

5. Expansion of Physician Assistant Training (EPAT) grants from HRSA across 2011-2016 led to increased deployment of PAs at graduation to primary care specialties at a rate that was 2.5 times higher than the national average. The primary care deployment was durable for several years post-graduation. The EPAT grants funded programs that graduated over 140 PA graduates who immediately practiced in medically underserved areas. The funding supported a more racially and ethnically diverse student population and a higher number of students from rural areas than the national average of PA students (Rolls, Lessard, Smith, & Keahey, 2021).
Recommendation 2: Integrating Oral and Behavioral Health in Primary Care
Congress should increase funding to the Title VII, Section 747 and 748, programs to integrate oral and behavioral health into primary care* training to support interprofessional team-based training and practice that addresses the needs of medically complex* patients, including those facing racial and ethnic inequities in underserved rural communities.

Rationale
Interprofessional primary care training that includes oral and behavioral health can better equip the workforce to meet the needs of rural communities. Integrated oral and behavioral health training increases understanding of the oral-systemic connection and encourages teams to work together to address the needs of complex patients suffering from chronic disease and environmental challenges due to the social determinants of health.

Background
Rural communities are especially in need of increased access to oral and behavioral health care. Nearly one in four rural residents is at least 65 years old and many are medically compromised due to one or more chronic diseases. Goodell and colleagues (2011) showed that mental and chronic medical disorders are risk factors for each other, yet many rural areas have been designated as mental health HPSAs. Similarly, effective oral health care also fails to reach the populations with the highest burden of oral disease, including those in rural America.

Rural adults are more likely to have untreated dental disease, be missing some or all their teeth, and are more likely than their non-rural peers to have received no recent dental care (Braswell & Johnson, 2013; Fish-Parcham et al., 2019). In Improving Oral Health Care Services in Rural America (HRSA, 2018) barriers to adequate oral health care included: acute provider shortages, geographic isolation, lack of adequate transportation, higher rate of poverty compared to metro areas, large elderly populations, lack of fluoridated community water, and poor oral health education.

Poor oral health is an independent risk factor for multiple chronic conditions and can aggravate chronic health conditions. Atchison et al. (2018, p.2) conclude, “a siloed dental profession cannot solve people’s oral health problems alone, nor can the medical profession solve general health problems alone.” The lack of collaborative training of physicians and dentists is an important, missed opportunity for meeting the public’s health needs. Skillman et al. (2010) called for increasing the capacity and flexibility of the health workforce, tailoring approaches for rural communities, and creating new roles for providers.

In addition, rural individuals face unique challenges when attempting to address behavioral health and mental health conditions, including seeking care for substance use and opioid use disorders, depression, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress. In rural communities, shortages of mental health providers results in 60% of mental healthcare visits delivered through a primary care provider. Without appropriate integration of mental health services and/or sufficient primary care training, clinicians may not provide the specific treatment necessary for individuals with a mental health condition (National Rural Health Association, 2021).
The National Council for Behavioral Health (2018) found mental health services are insufficient to meet current needs. More than half of American adults have sought or are considering seeking mental health services for themselves or others. Approximately three-quarters of Americans perceive that behavioral health care is difficult to access. The lack of behavioral health care profoundly impacts communities of color and other underserved populations. Research has also shown that lack of culturally and linguistically appropriate care likely contributes to disparities in behavioral health (American Psychiatric Association, 2017).

Interprofessional primary care training that includes oral and behavioral health is necessary to provide effective care for patients with complex needs and chronic conditions. It is more challenging to address other physical health conditions when oral or behavioral health problems go untreated or undertreated. It is essential that oral health and culturally appropriate behavioral health are well integrated into primary care training and practice, to prepare interprofessional teams to meet the health care needs of vulnerable communities. Primary care workforce training requires redefining program admission standards and training program objectives along with reviewing the clinical settings in which training occurs. The current relatively small proportion of federal primary care expenditures limits the opportunity for training programs to be innovative in their approach to addressing the health care needs of the underserved and most vulnerable, particularly in rural areas.

Exemplars

1. A 3-year, longitudinal oral health program at the University of Colorado demonstrates that incorporation of an oral health curriculum can lead to lasting knowledge of PAs about basic oral health concepts and increased performance of oral health skills in the clinical year (Bowser et al., 2013). Moreover, PAs educated in oral health competencies are more likely to provide such services to patients, especially in primary medicine or urgent care (Langelier & Surdu, 2021).

2. A focused interprofessional oral health curriculum can likely be successfully integrated into various academic settings with a positive effect on learning and improved patient care (Berkowitz et al., 2017). The Teaching Oral-Systemic Health (TOSH) program uses oral-systemic health as a clinical exemplar to develop interprofessional competencies. The 2017-2019 data reinforce the credibility of scaling the TOSH model for developing interprofessional competencies with students from different health professions (Haber et al., 2021).

3. Team-based care is essential to successful integration in health centers. Additionally, integrating oral health care into primary medical care practices results in greater patient satisfaction as patients appreciate the convenience of integrated care (Owen, Hilton, & Thompson, 2019).

4. Training programs should integrate professions, including oral care and behavioral health practitioners, which will then improve outcomes. A.T. Still University (ATSU) has a successful program that aligns rural dental school students with primary care (ATSU, 2020).

5. The Primary Care Collaborative report, Innovations in Oral Health and Primary Care Integration (2021) provides a comprehensive resource citing several exemplars of oral health
integration into primary care education and practice that increase access to care for underserved populations. The report includes a description of Smiles for Life, a free, online oral health curriculum endorsed by 22 organizations representing medicine, PAs, nursing, dentistry, dental hygiene, pharmacy, community health centers and more with over 3 million unique site visits and 475,000 courses completed for credit. (https://www.smilesforlifeoralhealth.org).
**Recommendation 3: Enhancing the Capacity of Telehealth**

Congress should increase funding for Title VII, Section 747 and 748, programs to build and enhance telehealth capacity of primary care training programs to use clinical telehealth technologies for telemonitoring, remote interprofessional collaborative care, shared patient management, and telementoring in underserved rural communities.

**Rationale**

Telehealth technology has become an important resource, increasing health care access in rural areas. Primary care training that integrates innovative telehealth strategies, including teledentistry, can enable future providers and their patients to overcome barriers to care related to time and distance, and increase opportunities for patient education, consultation, collaboration, and shared care management.

**Background**

The COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated previous access issues in rural and other underserved communities. Traditional health care encounters between a provider and patient occur face to face in a physical location. During the pandemic, such encounters were often unavailable except for the sickest patients. Patients postponed routine visits, creating a mounting backlog of care and little attention to preventive visits. Further, many health profession schools were forced to close their physical doors and transition to other instruction modalities.

Telehealth has emerged over the past 20 years as an effective solution for addressing patient, provider, and student education needs across distance and time. Historically, telehealth focused on rural medicine (Health Affairs, 2016). However, it has now become an essential component of the response to COVID-19 by meeting many patient care needs at a distance and reducing exposure for providers and staff (Ramaswamy & Seshadri, 2020).

Telehealth is a valuable resource for overcoming distance barriers facilitating communication with patients as well as consultation between interprofessional care team members. Telehealth reduces travel time, cost, and logistic burdens that affect rural and other vulnerable and underserved communities (Bolin et al., 2015). Further, it can extend the reach of primary care and specialist services to remote areas and potentially facilitate interprofessional collaborations and care management. Evidence supports the effectiveness of telehealth for specific uses, including remote patient monitoring, communication, and counseling for patients with chronic conditions; and psychotherapy as part of behavioral health (Totten et al., 2016). By increasing access, telehealth can help improve patient outcomes and enhance chronic disease management (Myers, 2018).

In addition to the above uses, telehealth can also facilitate transfer of information and across the care team and allow preceptors and specialty care to reach remote areas that lack clinical supervisors (Kohli et al., 2021; Kopycka-Kedzierska, 2018; Mesko, 2017; Schulte et al., 2019; Society of Teachers of Family Medicine, 2020). Telehealth consultations can support high-quality obstetric care for patients in community hospitals without medical specialty services. Virtual collaborations support optimal care for geriatric patients in post-acute, long-term care facilities, and comprehensive medication reviews and electronic health record documentation to...
review with patients’ primary physicians in interprofessional training programs (ACOG, 2016; Archbald-Pannone et al., 2020; Begley at al., 2019).

In the PA profession, 64% of PAs reported using telemedicine in their practice in June 2020, compared to one in 10 as of February 2020. Researchers found that utilization of telehealth video consultation, and PAs trained to provide pediatric orthopedic care across suburban/rural areas, can increase pediatric orthopedic surgeon access and decrease travel costs while maintaining patient satisfaction (Sinha, 2019). PAs in primary care have higher odds of using telemedicine more frequently, and those familiar with telemedicine were obviously more likely to use it (AAPA, 2020).

COVID-19 has led to emergency shifts in policy and payment which has made it possible to address patient needs across traditional geographic barriers. Patients and primary care providers have grown accustomed to relying on virtual care for convenience and efficiency. Regulatory changes have included HIPAA flexibility, telemedicine waivers through CMS, cost-sharing, and billing and reimbursement changes. Until the COVID-19 pandemic, CMS rules required teaching physicians to be physically present when reviewing services provided by residents. CMS has now adopted an interim rule that allows regulatory flexibilities, including remote review through “virtual means via audio/visual real time communications technology” (Society of Teachers of Family Medicine, 2020). Given that this technology supports preceptors observing procedures, residents, and patients, and allows preceptors to reach remote areas that lack clinical supervisors, and the demonstrations of successful implementation of telementoring, it is recommended that this regulatory flexibility be permanent. Future clinicians will need to be equipped with new knowledge and skills that enables them to deliver care using telehealth technologies effectively and efficiently.

While educators and care providers have responded to public needs by offering telehealth, education and professional associations report a need for increased capacity for formal education and training in how to integrate telehealth into practice (Murphy, 2021). Faculty and trainees who are equipped with the knowledge and skills to apply telehealth applications have the capacity to expand access to quality patient care. Telehealth technology can also facilitate and increase capacity for mentoring health care providers by supporting remote supervision of medical residents.

Exemplars

1. The Extension for Community Healthcare Outcomes (ECHO) project supports specialists’ telementoring primary care providers who practice in underserved communities to treat complex diseases. Currently, 920 ECHO programs are operating in 423 global hubs (University of New Mexico, 2021). Examples of ECHO projects include: telementoring for family practitioners, general neurologists, and school nurses treating patients with epilepsy (Aker, 2019); training primary care providers and case managers in best practices in geriatric mental health, resulting in increased knowledge, improved care, and decreased costs (Fisher et al., 2017); connecting specialists with community practice sites where providers have had no experience treating the hepatitis C virus to support effective treatment delivery and increased access to services for Latino patients (Arora et al., 2011); curriculum and training
sessions to support rural primary care providers in implementing or expanding medication-assisted treatment for opioid use disorder (Salvador et al., 2021).

2. The Cleveland Clinic (Brateanu et al., 2021) has developed a training program for clinicians providing virtual care. The program assumes fundamental clinical skills and addresses the challenges with the virtual environment.

3. The University of Nebraska’s Teledentistry program allows dental providers in rural areas to conduct patient consultations through two-way audio/video with dental specialists at the college. The program’s hub is in the city of Lincoln, and teledentistry equipment connects it to diverse sites in nine communities around the state, including a hospital and a community health center (Nebraska Teledentistry, 2021).

4. Since fiscal year (FY) 2002, the Veterans Administration (VA) has provided veterans with access to mental health services through more than 2,749,000 TeleMental Health encounters. In FY 2017, approximately 8,700 veterans gained access to VA TeleMental Health services using VA Video Connect directly from their homes or other non-VA locations. Currently, TeleMental Health services are delivered by providers from multiple mental health professions to treat nearly all diagnoses. Given improved access to mental health treatment, admissions to acute psychiatric beds in the VA for new patients receiving Clinical Video TeleMental Health decreased by 31%, and bed days of care decreased by 34% in FY 2017 (Veterans Health Administration, 2018).
Recommendation 4: Supporting Interprofessional Team-based Education and Practice in Maternal–Infant Care
Congress should increase funding for Title VII, Section 747 and 748, programs to support interprofessional team-based education and practice that incorporates oral health and a life course* approach to proactively address disparities in maternal and infant health outcomes in underserved rural communities.

**Rationale**
Rural areas often rely on family medicine physicians working with other primary care clinicians to provide maternal-infant care. Training future providers to collaborate across interprofessional teams using a life course approach prioritizes prevention, enhances protective factors, and helps minimize developmental and structural risk factors to improve long-term maternal and child health outcomes.

**Background**
Like all communities, rural areas require a full range of healthcare professionals (i.e., physicians, dentists, PAs, nurses, hygienists, and behavioral/mental health practitioners) trained to work collaboratively to meet the community’s health needs across the lifespan. This is an important factor in maternal–infant health outcomes. Residents in rural areas are more likely to have poor maternal–infant outcomes if they are low-income, uninsured, and members of racial or ethnic minorities with suboptimal access to oral health care. (Ha et al., 2014; Northridge et al., 2020). Pregnancy may make women more disposed to periodontal disease and cavities. Oral health is considered an important part of prenatal care as poor oral health during pregnancy can lead to poor health outcomes for the mother and baby (CDC, 2021) with studies suggesting a low, but existing association between periodontitis and adverse pregnancy outcomes (Corbella et al., 2016).

The United States has one of the most technologically advanced healthcare systems globally, yet we have higher maternal morbidity and mortality rates than comparable countries. This problem is even worse in rural communities. Rural residents have a 9% greater probability of maternal morbidity than urban residents (CMS, 2019). Black and American Indian/Native Alaskan women in rural communities experience disproportionately higher maternal mortality rates compared with their White counterparts (CMS, 2019). There is a need for advanced training for maternal health collaborative teams that integrate primary care, obstetrics, and oral healthcare framed in a life course approach to reduce maternal and infant morbidity and mortality in rural communities.

The COVID-19 pandemic continues to threaten rural hospitals due to deferred care. Over the past year, 20 rural hospitals have closed, bringing the total of hospital closures to 136 since 2010. Hospital closures present a snowball effect because the loss of hospital-based obstetric services is associated with longer travel times to maternal care services, increases in out-of-hospital and preterm births, and births in hospitals without obstetric units, leading to poor maternal-infant outcomes (Anderson et al., 2019; CMS, 2019; Kozhimannil et al., 2018).
Historical studies document that the primary health care needs of pregnant women in both urban and rural populations have been addressed by a variety of clinicians, including obstetrician-gynecologists (Ob/Gyns), family medicine physicians, nurse midwives, and PAs working in collaboration with Ob/Gyns or independently (Kozhimannil et al., 2019). Obstetricians are concentrated in urban areas, while rural areas often rely on family medicine physicians working with other primary care clinicians to provide primary maternity health care (prenatal, delivery, and postpartum care). Fewer and fewer primary care clinicians provide maternity care. However, most primary care residencies, including traditional family medicine residencies, do not provide enough volume, exposure to complications, and surgical obstetric training to address the needs of patients in rural America who need maternity care. The lack of prenatal maternal care is associated with premature birth, low birth weight, maternal mortality, and severe maternal morbidity.

A multidisciplinary team approach designed to promote health and prevent disease across the lifespan can impact maternal and child health from preconception through pregnancy, infancy, childhood, adolescence, and adulthood (Association of University Centers on Disabilities, 2011; Jones & Roy, 2017; WHO, 2000). Training interprofessional teams to use a life course approach that recognizes the importance of social, economic, and cultural contexts can further impact patient outcomes (Jones, 2019). Integrating preventive oral and behavioral health care can result in improved access and outcomes for rural mothers and infants over their life span (National Governors Association, 2020) particularly those of underserved racial/ethnic groups with less access to health care services.

Interprofessional collaborative teams are better equipped to recognize maternal risk behaviors and underlying disease such as oral disease, substance use, chronic health conditions, preeclampsia, and postpartum depression, and partner abuse. These teams can increase access to effective, timely and cost-effective maternal-infant care; however, family medicine and other primary care providers need additional training to increase their capacity to identify and mitigate risk factors and adverse childbirth events. Communication can also focus on working to address social determinants of health (such as adequate food availability, safe housing, transportation, etc.), and other structural factors that may help reduce the morbidity and mortality of both mothers and baby.

**Exemplars**

1. The **Life Course Initiative**, launched in 2005, is a 15-year family, maternal and child health (FMCH) program initiative based on the Life Course Perspective. The Life Course Initiative utilizes a 12-Point Plan to Close the Black-White Gap in Birth Outcomes as its road map. The Life Course Initiative is currently developing and implementing **Building Economic Security Today (BEST)** (Contra Costa Health Services, 2021a), an asset development pilot project that utilizes innovative strategies to reduce disparities and inequities in health outcomes.

2. The **Community Oral Health Program (COHP)** (Contra Costa Health Services, 2021b) collaborates with schools and community partners to increase access to oral health services by linking children, youth, and families to no- or low-cost dental resources. This program
includes both the Children’s Oral Health Program and the Local Community Health Improvement Plan. The program is organized into perinatal oral health, special needs, children’s oral health for classrooms, school-based medical, dental, and behavioral health clinics, and mobile clinics that provide life-long care in pediatrics, adult, and geriatric care.
**Conclusion**

Many rural areas in the United States are medically underserved. There are just not enough physicians, dentists, PAs, nurses, dentists, behavioral health providers, and other clinicians to meet the needs of people living hundreds of miles from large urban centers. Even in states with higher overall density, towns and communities still struggle to attract a health care workforce (Westfall & Byun, 2019).

The foundation of health care is crumbling, visits to primary care clinicians are declining, and the primary care clinician workforce is decreasing. Yet, increased access to primary care is associated with better population health and more equitable outcomes. Without access to primary care, minor health problems can spiral into a chronic disease, case management becomes difficult and uncoordinated, visits to emergency departments increase, preventive care lags, and the nation’s health care spending soars to unsustainable levels.

In addition, health disparities can and do affect many different groups; there is a long-standing history of disparities affecting racial and ethnic minorities in the United States (Wheeler & Bryant, 2017). The 2002 Institute of Medicine report, *Unequal Treatment*, examined racial and ethnic disparities in health care in the United States (Smedley et al., 2003). Consequently, Congress authorized the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) to annually report on national and state health care disparities. Despite improvement in selected health care disparities, overall progress has been slow (AHRQ, 2017; Fiscella & Sanders, 2016).

Unequal access to high-quality primary care services, particularly between rural and urban areas of the country, must be met with substantial and sustained public investment of funding and a revision of health care policies to strengthen the primary care workforce and address health disparities. Federal appropriation levels for Title VII programs, the primary federal funding mechanism for the primary care workforce, remain low and have a negative impact on national efforts to increase the primary care workforce and improve access for underserved populations. Federal policies to support and fund training of the primary care workforce must be substantially increased to achieve the national health goals described in this report’s recommendations.

The recommendations in this 18th report of the ACTPCMD aim to advance health equity* through enhanced training of the primary care workforce to address health barriers in underserved communities. The recommendations focus on rural communities and populations who have systematically had limited access to preventive health care and suffer from social and economic barriers leading to persistent inequality. These barriers prevent underserved and disadvantaged populations from accessing high-quality primary health care services (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2021), including endemic challenges created and perpetuated by a siloed health care system that is supported by a fee-for-service system that incentivizes individual treatment of disease by procedural subspecialists and undervalues primary care, preventive care, and population health interventions.

As charged, the recommendations apply to Title VII, sections 747 and 748 programs, however, we acknowledge the critical importance of an expanded primary care team to include physicians, PAs, nurses, dentists, dental hygienists, dental therapists, pharmacists, behavioral health, and
frontline health workers. While this 18th Report is focused on the needs of geographically underserved communities, the Committee recognizes that increased access and enhanced training can improve population health in all underserved communities.
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Definitions

Age-Friendly Health Systems
A concept developed by the Hartford Foundation, this term has recently been adopted by the Institute for Health Improvement. Though developed for the population of older adults, it has the potential to be more inclusive, describing care that is appropriate across the life span, accommodating a patient’s specific needs and concerns whether they are 6 months of age or 96 years. Some HRSA NOFOs have incorporated this term. http://www.ihi.org/Engage/Initiatives/Age-Friendly-Health-Systems/Pages/default.aspx; https://www.johnahartford.org/grants-strategy/current-strategies/age-friendly/age-friendly-health-systems-initiative

Health Equity
The term “health equity” in this report refers to the consistent, systematic, fair, just, and impartial treatment of all individuals who have historically been denied this right, including those who belong to underserved communities that have been denied this right, such as Black, Latino, Indigenous and Native American persons, other persons of color, religious minorities, persons with disabilities, lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer (LGBTQ) persons, and persons who live in rural areas or are otherwise adversely affected by poverty (Braveman, 2019; HRSA, 2018).

Life Course Health and Development Model
A multidisciplinary approach that considers how underlying biological, behavioral and psychosocial processes operate over the lifespan to affect health. The approach recognizes the importance of early life events in affecting later outcomes as well as the importance of social, economic, and cultural context (Association of University Centers on Disabilities, 2011; World Health Organization, 2000). Recent literature emphasizes the importance of family context and social determinants on health trajectories (Jones et al, 2019).

Longitudinal Education
Includes varied definitions, all describing an alternative to brief block rotations. For the purposes of recommendations in this report, “longitudinal” refers to clinical experiences that allows trainees to engage and develop a meaningful relationship with the community where they train, have multiple clinical encounters with individual patients, and learn to become advocates for the patients and community they serve.

Medically Complex
For the purposes of this report, refers to patients who are affected by at least one chronic health condition. Social determinants of health may also contribute to medical complexity (Manning & Gagnon, 2017; Grant et al., 2011).
**Primary Care**
Primary care in this report refers to care that is comprehensive, person-centered, and relationship-based across the lifespan, based on the needs and preferences of individuals, families, and communities.

**Telehealth**
Per the Health Resources and Services Administration (2020), defines the term as, “the use of electronic information and telecommunications technologies to support and promote long-distance clinical health care, patient and professional health-related education, and public health and health administration.”